Editorial Board Meeting 2009Â 05

×

ⓘÂ Note

About Quorum andÂ Voting

[+]

This is a meeting of the Editorial Board - it runs online from May 1 to May 31,Â 2009.

- Members considered current for this meeting (9): chibaguy, dthacker, klang, luci, marclaporte, MatWho, mlpvolt (MLP), ricks99, xavi
  5 votes (for, against or abstain) are needed to reach quorum on the decisions for this month. Please read above the [+] "About Quorum and voting" section.
- Contributors to this meeting: see "history" of the page.

Editorial Board Meeting 2009Â 05

Last meeting: Editorial Board Meeting 2008 10 | Next meeting: Editorial Board Meeting 2009 06

Motions passed in the lastÂ meeting

- *to be copied here from that page*

Motions defeated in the last meeting

- *to be copied here from that page*

1. Motions Carried Over

- *to be copied here from that page*

2. New Motions

2.1. Change doc.tw.oÂ menus

Motion: Change menus according to doc.tw.o revamp proposal page: http://tikiwiki.org/doctwo+revamp .

Discussion: see that doctwo revamp page.
marc: I hope top menu will one day be used for global *.tiki.org navigation

In Favor: Rick, Xavi, luci
Opposed:
Undecided: lindon (I like having the All the Documentation site map link somewhere, also like the current choices under Author Resources. Depending on how reduced TOC in side menu looks, some keywords might still be helpful since lots of things are covered in keywords that wouldn't show in a reduced TOC or freetags. Otherwise menu proposals seem okay.)

2.2. Change doc.tw.oÂ modules

Motion: Change modules according to doctwo revamp proposal page.

Discussion: see that doctwo revamp page.
In Favor: Rick, Xavi
Opposed: lindon (I don't mean that i completely oppose but i do like the registered users online, and search by page name modules for registered users. Also assume the developer menu would remain for those users.)

2.3. categories forÂ status

are there but not being used. Do we use? or do we nuke?

(ricks99) would like to continue to use them (to alert readers as to the "correctness" of a particular page). However
see a potential issue: How can we "split" the category of a page when using the VERSIONS plugin? For example, the
2.x information may be "LIVE", but the 3.x information may be "TO DO." Currently (I think), Tiki allows only 1:1 â€"
category:page.

I (ricks99) would also like to see the categories be simplified. Maybe something like:

1. Stub â€" Newly generated page; no real content.

2. In Progress â€" Being worked on, not up-to-date or complete.

3. In Review â€" Content complete. Needs to be reviewed/verified.

4. Complete â€" Page is done & published.

Maybe also use Staging feature?

lindon wrote:

> *I could take or leave the categories for users, but find the backlinks method used in documentation status and the*
> *other tools marc has set up (like All plugins) to be the best for authors.*

2.4. Remove en-uk as a possibleÂ language

ust causes confusion. When we filter by language, there are uk english pages which are not really different to English pages

There is no en-uk version for Wikipedia, with over 250 languages

In favor:

marclaporte

Opposed: luci

-1 â€" **color** is different than **colour** (ricks99)

2.5. Remove myÂ footnotes

to simplify interface
need to check in db if any data
Discussion:

+1, i never used it myself â€" luci

-1, I like having it. It allows contributors to work on pages without actually editing the "real" content. *If* we implement the Staging system to doc.tw.o, then +1 from me (ricks99)
-1, I haven't used it but think it could be useful. Not sure if staging would replace it for me.

2.6. Use proposal plugin for futureÂ EBM

se proposal plugin for future EBM

Accept	Undecided	Reject
5	0	0
• marclaporte		
• xavi		
• luci		
• ricks99		
• lindon		

Next meeting: June 2009